

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addines: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,769	04/03/2007	Masaharu Yamada	4401/1218-US0	6327
76808 7590 10/25/2010 Leason Ellis LLP			EXAMINER	
81 Main Stree		SIDDIQUEE, MUHAMMAD S		
Suite 503 White Plains, NY 10601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/595,769	YAMADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MUHAMMAD SIDDIQUEE	1726	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set of exercised period for reply within the set of exercised period for reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2	010.			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exc	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-19 is/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ acce	ented or b) abjected to by the Evaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing				
-	quired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner				
Tr) The dath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner	. Note the attached Office Action of John F 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	3,7,7,7,7,7			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc				
application from the International Bureau (PCT	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the o	* "			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
3) Minformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/16/2008.	Notice of Informal Patent Application     Other:			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	0, <u></u>			
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action Sun	nmary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20101019			

Application/Control Number: 10/595,769 Page 2

Art Unit: 1726

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 8/23/2010 is acknowledged. Therefore, claims 1-3 are examined on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/595,769

Art Unit: 1726

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

 Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission of prior art in view of Akiyama et al (JP 10-021932).

Regarding claims 1 and 3, However, from the applicant's prior art admission, it is known that the anode includes a porous sintered body, which contains B-doped ceria is expressed by the formula of Ce<sub>1-m</sub> B<sub>m</sub> O<sub>2</sub> (B is one or more of Sm, Gd, Y, and Ca, and 0<m≤0.4) and nickel. Further, it is known that nickel of the porous sintered body including B-doped ceria and nickel forms a porous frame structure constituting a network [paragraph 0005]. Applicant claims that it is not known to attach the cerium dioxide on the surface of the porous nickel frame. However, Akiyama discloses a fuel electrode (anode) of a fuel cell comprising cerium dioxide deposited (separately attached) on the porous nickel skeleton (network frame structure). Akiyama further teaches that by making the ceramic particles deposit inside metal particles simultaneously with the surface of the metal particles which constitute the fuel electrode, metaled condensation and the depressor effect of sintering are improved remarkably [Fig. 3-4; paragraph 0010 - 0020]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to avail the teachings of depositing (separately attaching) cerium dioxide on the nickel surface in the fuel cell assembly as taught by Akiyama in order to have efficient anode for the fuel cell.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,769

Art Unit: 1726

Regarding claim 2, Akiyama teaches that the ceramic particles have average particle diameter of 0.01 to 0.5 micrometer [paragraph 0018]. Therefore, the claimed large and small particle size falls within the disclosed particle diameter by Akiyama.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MUHAMMAD SIDDIQUEE whose telephone number is (571) 270-3719. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,769 Page 5

Art Unit: 1726

Examiner, Art Unit 1726

/Patrick Joseph Ryan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1726